QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE BOARD OF MANAGERS OF SPECIAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT #6 OF THE RIO GRANDE WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT November 5, 2019 at 1:30 p.m.

Rio Grande Water Conservation District Conference Room Alamosa, Colorado 81101

Present: Tyler Faucette, President; Virgil Valdez, Vice-President; Ronald Reinhardt, Secretary/Treasurer; Kenneth Reynolds, Manager; Gerald Faucette, Manager; Rodney Reinhardt, Manager; Peter Clark, Manager; Rodney Archer, Manager; and Armando Valdez, Ex-Officio Member.

Excused: Ted Heersink, Manager, and Mario Curto, Manager

Staff and Consultants: Pete Ampe, Hill & Robbins, P.C.; Cleave Simpson, General Manager; Amber Pacheco, Program Manager; Chris Ivers, Deputy Program Manager; Rose Vanderpool, Program Assistant; Tim Carden, HCP Coordinator/Program Assistant; and, April Mondragon, Administrative Assistant.

Guests: Patrick Murphy, Vickie Welch, Gary Schneider, Curtis Schneider, Claude Schneider, Lois Booth, Janelle Martin, Gale Heersink, Virginia Christensen, Kyle, Judy Lopez, and Allen Law.

Meeting Called to Order

President Faucette called the meeting to order at 1:32 p.m. A quorum was present. The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

Approval of Agenda

President Faucette asked to add Virginia Christensen's request for a letter of support to the agenda and asked for any other changes or amendments to the agenda. A motion was made to approve the agenda as amended. The motion was seconded and unanimously passed.

Approval of Minutes

President Faucette asked for any corrections, additions or a motion for the following minutes:

- August 6, 2019- Quarterly Meeting
- August 6, 2019-Executive Session
- September 4, 2019-Speical Meeting
- September 4, 2019-Executive Session

A motion was made to approve the minutes as presented. The motion was seconded and unanimously passed.

Presentation by Judy Lopez (Colorado Open Lands) and Allen Law (RiGHT)

President Faucette asked for the presentation from Judy Lopez and Allen Law. Judy Lopez provided information on traditional easements currently in place and introduced the term groundwater aquifer conservation easements. Ms. Lopez stated she works for Colorado Open Lands and Allen Law works for Rio Grande Headwaters Land Trust. Ms. Lopez and Mr. Law reported they are looking to add another tool to the Subdistricts' tool boxes as they explained the new concept and the flexibility it might offer. They asked for the Board for ideas, comments and concerns on how the new program could work for this Subdistrict. Discussion was held on different scenarios and ideas from the Board and audience. Ms. Lopez and Mr. Law explained the next steps and what to expect moving forward.

Attorney's Report

President Faucette asked for the Attorney's Report. Pete Ampe updated the Board on the Santa Maria case and stated a decision should come from the court in three (3) to four (4) months. Mr. Ampe reminded the Board of the process of getting the Plan of Water Management approved, adopted and into effect. Mr. Ampe provided the amount of water needed to remedy depletions and water requirements as well as the importance of getting forbearance agreements. Holding a work session with Subdistrict No. 3 by the end of November was also discussed.

Program Manager's Report

President Faucette asked for the Program Manager's Report. Amber Pacheco reported on the progress of securing forbearance agreements. Discussion was held on offering an incentive for people to sign up and if the Board would be willing to pay forbearance as if they were operating

under a full year annual replacement plan. Ms. Pacheco highlighted the conditions in Subdistrict No. 6's Plan of Water Management approval letter from the State Engineer's office (copy attached). Discussion was held on sustainability and the benchmarks contained in the letter as well as the process of getting a well contracted into the Subdistrict.

A motion was made to direct staff to prepare a letter of support for the Terrace Irrigation for a project on the Alamosa River. Virginia Christensen was present to answer questions. The motion was second and unanimously passed.

Ms. Pacheco presented the financial report for Subdistrict No. 6 and stated they would continue tracking expenses. A motion was made to approve the financial report. The motion was seconded and unanimously approved.

Discussion on Draft Rules and Regulations

President Faucette asked for the discussion on the Rules and Regulations. Amber Pacheco highlighted each edit made to the draft Rules and Regulations since the last meeting. President Faucette requested \$300 be added to the draft Rules and Regulations as a minimum one-time fee for a Participation Contract or Inclusion Contract.

<u>Discussion Regarding 2019 Administration and Groundwater Withdrawal Fee Assessments</u>
President Faucette asked for the discussion regarding 2019 Administration and Groundwater
Withdrawal Fee Assessments. Amber Pacheco presented the draft fee assessments and went through a couple of scenarios to explain how the fees are calculated.

Executive Session

President Faucette asked for a motion to enter into executive session to receive legal advice on a potential real estate transaction. A motion was made to enter into executive session. The motion was seconded and unanimously approved.

Mr. Ampe stated the Board was entering into executive session. All discussion would relate to receiving legal advice regarding the potential purchase of real estate. The Board would take no formal position while in executive session.

Action on Executive Session Discussion

Pete Ampe stated the Board was in executive session and had voted to come out. Discussion was related to receiving legal advice regarding the potential purchase of real property.

Next Meeting

A telephone meeting was scheduled for December 2, 2019, at 8:30 a.m. and the next quarterly meeting is scheduled for February 12, 2020, at 1:30 p.m.

Adjournment

A motion was made to adjourn the meeting. The motion was seconded and unanimously passed.

The meeting was adjourned at 5:56 p.m.

Secretary/Treasurer



September 25, 2019

Cleave Simpson, General Manager Rio Grande Water Conservation District 8805 Independence Way Alamosa, CO 81101

Peter Ampe Hill & Robbins, P.C. 1660 Lincoln Street, Suite 2720 Denver, CO 80264

Subject: Approval of the Ground Water Management Plan for the Special Improvement District No. 6 of the Rio Grande Water Conservation District (submitted September 2019)

Dear Messrs. Simpson and Ampe,

Thank you for submitting on September 10, 2019 the Plan of Water Management prepared by the Board of Managers of Special Improvement District No. 6 of the Rio Grande Water Conservation District ("Subdistrict No. 6", aka Alamosa- La Jara Subdistrict) and approved by the Rio Grande Water Conservation District ("District") Board of Directors on September 9, 2019.

The Plan of Water Management submitted is the official plan for Subdistrict No. 6, which includes a groundwater management plan ("Plan") within the meaning of section 37-92-501(4)(c), C.R.S. The Plan must comply with the Rules Governing the Withdrawal of Groundwater in Water Division No. 3 (the Rio Grande Basin) and Establishing Criteria for the Beginning and End of the Irrigation Season in Water Division No. 3 for all Irrigation Water Rights ("Rules") promulgated pursuant to the authority of the State Engineer under sections 37-80-104 and 37-92-501, C.R.S. Trial was held on the Rules case (2015CW3024) in Water Court in early 2018. The Water Court entered a ruling on March 15, 2019, and the Rules became effective as of that date.

I appreciate the District and Subdistricts working with DWR during the process of developing this Plan. The experience gained in working through the Plans (and amendments) for Subdistrict No. 1, Subdistrict No. 2, and Subdistrict No. 3 have helped to make these plans more streamlined and efficient to review so that DWR can respond more quickly to Subdistrict requests..

I have reviewed Subdistrict No. 6's Plan and have included my analysis as attachments to this letter. My review cites language from the Plan that addresses requirements of the promulgated Rules. There are three tables in the attachment referencing specific sections of the Rules, described below:

1) <u>Table 1: Applicable Rules</u>: Rule 6, Requirements for Withdrawals of Groundwater in Water Division 3.



Cleve Simpson/Pete Ampe September 25, 2019 Page 2 of 5

- 2) <u>Table 2: Submittal Documents</u>: Rule 9, Subdistrict's Proposed Groundwater Management Plan.
- 3) <u>Table 3: Compliance</u>:

Rule 6: Requirements for Withdrawals of Groundwater in Water Division 3.

Rule 7: Standards for Determinations of Stream Depletions.

Rule 8: Standards and Monitoring Methods for Achieving and Maintaining a Sustainable Water Supply.

Recommendation

Included with the Plan documents are Subdistrict No. 6's September 4, 2019 draft Rules and Regulations. With respect to approval conditions 1 and 2 below, those draft Rules and Regulations provide additional clarity and detail regarding 'Contract Wells' and their related Stream Depletions as well as detail on alternative measurement of currently unmetered groundwater withdrawals. I recommend those draft Rules and Regulations be amended to address sustainability in a Contracting Wells' Response Area and that those Rules and Regulations be adopted by the Subdistrict Board of Managers.

Findings

Through my review, I have found that Subdistrict No. 6's Plan complies with the promulgated Rules when modified by the approval conditions below.

Approval Conditions

Pursuant to Colorado Revised Statute section 37-92-501(4)(c) the Office of the State Engineer has considered the Groundwater Management Plan and hereby approves the Plan subject to the following terms and conditions:

- 1. Subdistrict No. 6's Plan anticipates contracting with wells whose impacts are determined pursuant to Rule 7.3 by using an approved alternate method of calculating injurious stream depletions. For inclusion of these Contract Wells in an Annual Replacement Plan ("ARP"), Subdistrict No. 6 must:
 - Submit necessary information required by Rule 9.1.2, and
 - o Receive approval from DWR for the applicable Rule 7.5 method.
- 2. For Subdistrict and Contract Wells that do not measure withdrawals with a meter that meets the requirements of the Rules Governing the Measurement of Ground Water Diversions Located in Water Division 3, The Rio Grande Basin, Subdistrict No. 6's Plan allows groundwater withdrawals to be measured by Subdistrict and DWR approved methods. Prior to inclusion of wells using an alternative measurement method in an ARP, Subdistrict No. 6 must:
 - In conjunction with Sections 2.5.2 and 8.1.10 of the Plan, incorporate the volumes for Subdistrict and Contract Wells quantified using such alternative methods into

Cleve Simpson/Pete Ampe September 25, 2019 Page 3 of 5

the groundwater withdrawals for Subdistrict No. 6's stream depletion quantifications and sustainability limits.

3. Subdistrict No. 6's Plan utilizing the wells on the received well list, complies with Rule 8.1 regarding Sustainable Water Supplies. The Plan included specific provisions addressing the requirements of Rule 8.1.7, but did not define benchmarks. The Plan describes terms addressing how the 5-yr running average groundwater withdrawal limit will be met if Subdistrict No. 6 is not in compliance with the Rules, and proposes to include measureable benchmarks as part of the Subdistrict's ARP to assure the requirements of the Sustainable Water Supply are being met.

Upon review of the Rules, the San Luis Valley Confined Aquifer Sustainability ("CAS") group stipulation in Case No. 2015CW3024, the June 2019 memo on Five Year Average Groundwater Withdrawals in Confined Aquifer Response Areas in Division 3, and the June 2019 memo on the Composite Water Head for Confined Aquifer Response Areas in Division 3, I find the Plan's proposed process is sufficient because the Subdistrict is already operating within the 5-year 1978-2000 average as amended by the CAS stipulation:

- a. In compliance with the CAS stipulation, the State Engineer included additional data provided by CAS into the model and the estimate of the 1978-2000 average annual groundwater withdrawals was adjusted to 103,400 af.
- b. The CAS stipulation also recognizes that the method of calculating the 5-year average of the 23-year period (1978-2000) artificially limits the 5-year average and that an adjustment of up to 10% is allowable. This would indicate that an allowable 5-year average for 1978-2000 should be 113,740 af.
- c. The most recent calculation of the Alamosa-La Jara Response Area 5 year average (2014 2018) groundwater withdrawals is 91,093 af, which is less than the average in (b) above. In addition, the average groundwater withdrawals, 97,918 af, for the meter period of record (2009-2018) is less than the average in (b) above.
- d. The Alamosa-La Jara Response Area had a decreasing trend in groundwater withdrawals until the extremely dry year of 2018 when withdrawals rose to one of the highest levels in the metered records period. This 2018 increase is balanced by the dropping of 2013 (another very dry year) from the 5-year average resulting in a less than 1,000 af increase in the 5-year average.
- e. Four of the most recent years of pumping in the Alamosa-La Jara Response Area are significantly less than the value listed in (b) above.
- f. Review of the June 2019 Composite Water Head for Confined Aquifer Response Areas in Division 3 shows that the Alamosa-La Jara Response Area had an increasing trend in composite water head until 2019 when it dropped to the lowest level since 2015. However, the composite water level remains positive from the 2015 baseline.

Cleve Simpson/Pete Ampe September 25, 2019 Page 4 of 5

Under these conditions, the proposed Plan is currently operating within the required Sustainable Water Supply parameters and groundwater withdrawals. Because the current five-year running average groundwater withdrawals in the Response Area are less than the average annual withdrawal for the Response Area, the Subdistrict does not need to identify benchmarks so long as the Subdistrict complies with the latter section of Rule 8.1.7 which states, "...In each year thereafter, subject to Rule 8.1.8, for the Response Area the metered total withdrawals on a five year running average must not exceed the average annual withdrawals for the period 1978 through 2000 as provided by Rule 8.1.6."

- 4. Subdistrict No. 6's Plan contemplates contracting in wells outside of the Response Area but does not address how it will achieve and maintain its proportionate Sustainable Water Supply in aquifers in other Response Areas. For inclusion of these Contract Wells in an ARP, Subdistrict No. 6 shall:
 - o If the Contract Well is in a Response Area other than the Alamosa-La Jara Response Area that has a Sustainable Water Supply:
 - Subdistrict No. 6 shall comply with the standards of the respective Response Area for the proportionate responsibility of the Contract Wells for achieving and maintaining a Sustainable Water Supply, and
 - In each ARP, the Subdistrict shall describe how the Subdistrict will meet the Proportional Sustainable Water Supply requirements of the Response Area where the Contract Well is located.
 - If the Contract Well is inside of the RGDSS Model Domain, but outside Response Areas that have a Sustainable Water Supply:
 - Submit necessary information required by Rule 9.1.3, and
 - Receive approval from DWR for the applicable Rule 8.6 Alternate Plan for a Sustainable Water Supply, and
 - In each ARP, the Subdistrict shall describe how the Subdistrict will meet the Proportional Sustainable Water Supply requirements of the Response Area where the Contract Well is located.
 - o If the Contract Well is outside of the RGDSS Model Domain, Rule 8.5 provides the rebuttable presumption that aquifers outside of the RGDSS Model Domain act as alluvial aquifers and have little or no storage capacity available for use of the aquifer as a reservoir. Therefore, the required Rule 8.6 Alternate Plan for a Sustainable Water Supply for a Contract Well from these areas would be similar to Rule 8.4 in that no Sustainable Water Supply would be required for that Contract Well.

Approval of this Plan does not authorize any change, increase, or expanded use of any water right or permit. Any change, increase, or expansion of a water right or permit will need to comply with existing decrees and or permits, the Confined Aquifer New Use Rules, the Measurement Rules, the Rio Grande Basin Groundwater Use Rules, and may require approval of the Water Court.

The Office of the State Engineer will publish notice of this approval pursuant to 37-92-501(4)(c) C.R.S. in the appropriate newspapers and in the Water Court Resume for Water Division 3.

Cleve Simpson/Pete Ampe September 25, 2019 Page 5 of 5

Thank you for your efforts in preparation of Subdistrict No. 6's Plan of Water Management.

Very Sincerely,

Kevin G. Rein, P.E.

State Engineer, Director

Colorado Division of Water Resources

cc: Division 3

Table 1: Applicable Rules

				Subdistrict No. 6 (Alamosa LaJara Subdistrict)
Rule	Rule Language	Comments	Section	Plan Language
6.	Requirements for Withdrawals of Groundwater in Water Division 3			
6.1.	groundwater withdrawals within the scope of these Rules can only occur if they are made pursuant to one of the following:			
6.1.1.	A Groundwater Management Plan for a Subdistrict that has been approved by the State Engineer for which no judicial review is sought, or as approved by the Water Court after judicial review.	See Rules Section 9	Plan	The Board of Directors of the Rio Grande Water Conservation District ("District") on behalf of the Board of Managers of Special Improvement District No. 6 ("Subdistrict"), submits the following Plan of Water Management ("Plan") as the official Plan of the Subdistrict, subject to Court approval, pursuant to section 37-48-126, C.R.S. This Plan is consistent with a Groundwater Management Plan as defined in, and meets the requirements laid out in, the Rules Governing the Withdrawal of Groundwater in Water Division No. 3 (The Rio Grande Basin) and Establishing Criteria for the Beginning and End of the Irrigation Season in Water Division No. 3 for All Irrigation Water Rights (Case No. 15CW3024, District Court, in and for Water Division No. 3).
6.1.2.	A Plan for Augmentation, the decree for which was entered after the Effective Date of these Rules, that meets the applicable requirements of these Rules and the Confined Aquifer New Use Rules.	See Rules Section 10	N/A	
6.1.3.	A Substitute Water Supply Plan That meets the applicable requirements of these Rules and the Confined Aquifer New Use Rules.	See 37-92-308, C.R.S.	N/A	
6.1.4.	A Plan for Augmentation, the decree for which was entered prior to the Effective Date of these Rules, except as limited by Rule 10.1, that meets the requirements of Rule 8.	See Rules Section 10	N/A	

Table 2: Submittal Documents

				Subdistrict No. 6 (Alamosa LaJara Subdistrict)
Rule	Rule Language	Comments	Section	Plan Document
9.	Subdistrict's Proposed Groundwater Management Plan			
9.1.1.	This information will be provided to the State Engineer in hard copy and/or electronic format, at the reasonable discretion of the State Engineer. This information includes, but is not limited to:			
9.1.1.1.	A map showing the Subdistrict boundaries	Complies	Supplemental	Alamosa La Jara Subdistrict (Exhibit A map) Prepared on 6/13/2018
9.1.1.2.	Copies of any reports, data, maps, or other materials referenced in the proposed Groundwater Management Plan	Complies	Supplemental	Subdistrict Rules & Regulations, DRAFT 9/4/2019
9.1.1.3.	A list of all Wells currently included within the Subdistrict's Groundwater Management Plan in a form approved by the State Engineer	Complies	Supplemental	The Subdistrict 6 Well Database was provided in spreadsheet format.
9.1.1.4.	The projected budget and accounting for the plan	Complies	Supplemental	Subdistrict No. 6 2020 Budget (with Possible Replacement Sources) was provided in spreadsheet format.
9.1.1.5.	Any other data or materials the Subdistrict believes will assist the State Engineer in reviewing the proposed Groundwater Management Plan	Complies	Supplemental	 Petition for Establishment of Special Improvement District No of the Rio Grande Water Conservation District Order Establishing Special Improvement District No of the Rio Grande Water Conservation District (2018CV30014)
9.1.1.6.	An operational timeline specifically listing the dates, data, and other necessary information that will be supplied to the State and Division Engineers for evaluation of each Annual Replacement Plan	Complies	Supplemental	Plan of Water Management Special Improvement District No 6 of the Rio Grande Water Conservation District Operational Timeline (provided in spreadsheet format)
9.1.1.7.	Any other information or data requested by the Division or State Engineer that is reasonably necessary for evaluation of the proposed Groundwater Management Plan.	Complies	Supplemental	* Subdistrict No 6 Board of Managers approval of Plan (9/4/2019 Meeting minutes, draft) * Rio Grande Water Conservation District Board of Directors Resolution to Approve the Official Plan for Special Improvement District No 6 (Alamosa-La Jara Subdistrict) (9/9/2019)
9.1.2.	If a Subdistrict proposes to use a methodology other than the RGDSS Model Response Functions to determine Stream Depletions, then the Subdistrict will submit that methodology to the Division and State Engineer:			
9.1.2.1.	proposed methodology must be sufficiently detailed to allow the State Engineer to examine both the proposed data to be used and the method to determine Stream Depletions	<u>Complies:</u> As amended by Approval Condition No. 1	N/A	

Table 2: Submittal Documents

				Subdistrict No. 6 (Alamosa LaJara Subdistrict)
Rule	Rule Language	Comments	Section	Plan Document
9.1.2.2.	must submit an example of how any alternate proposed methodology will be applied and the results of that alternate proposed methodology using the most recent 20-year historical period of record	Complies: As amended by Approval Condition No. 1	N/A	
9.1.2.3	will include a list of projected current and lagged Stream Depletions from Subdistrict Wells, in time, location, and amount based upon the most recent 20-year historical period	Complies: As amended by Approval Condition No. 1	N/A	
9.1.3.	Subdistrict will submit its proposed methodology to meet the applicable requirements of Rule 8.			
9.1.3.1	Plan must include a measurable, ten-year benchmark for showing progress toward compliance with Rule 8. If not met, then the State Engineer may approve an ARP submitted by the Subdistrict pursuant to Rule 11 only if the Subdistrict:	- 10.1	N/A	
9.1.3.1.1.	Adjusts its program of fees and charges within the economic means of its Well Users in order to provide funding to obtain a further reduction in groundwater consumption during the subsequent years; or	Complies: As amended by Approval Condition No. 4.	N/A	
9.1.3.1.2.	Takes other steps to achieve a Sustainable Water Supply within the period required by these Rules.	Complies: As amended by Approval Condition No. 4.	N/A	

Table 3: Compliance

				Subdistrict No. 6 (Alamosa LaJara Subdistrict)
Rule	Rule Language	Comments	Section	Plan Language
6.	Requirements for Withdrawals of Groundwater in Water Division 3 (cont'd)	Rule 6.1 is found in Table 1, Applicable Rules		
6.2.	Plan is only required to replace or Remedy injurious Stream Depletions, not all Stream Depletions.	Complies	3.4.3.	To ensure the protection of senior surface water rights and to avoid unreasonable interference with Colorado's obligations under the Rio Grande Compact, the Subdistrict will utilize a portion of its revenues to remedy any injurious stream depletions determined to occur to surface streams resulting from the operation of Subdistrict Wells The implementation of the strategies set forth in this Plan are consistent with preventing material injury to senior surface water rights.
6.2 (cont'd)			8.1.10	The Subdistrict will begin replacing and/or remedying injurious stream depletions, to include any Post-Plan Injurious Stream Depletions accruing to any stream from prior Subdistrict and Contract Well withdrawals, following the approval of the first ARP in accordance with the Groundwater Rules and continuing for each ARP Year thereafter.
6.3.	Plan must replace or Remedy ongoing Injurious Stream Depletions resulting from all past groundwater withdrawals from any of the Plan's Wells.	Complies	2.2.3. 3.2.2.	Subdistrict Land will remain a part of the Subdistrict for as long as the Subdistrict is in existence. The Plan will operate for an indefinite period to ensure the remedy of injurious stream depletions resulting from groundwater withdrawals by Subdistrict Wells and to achieve and maintain a Sustainable Water Supply in the Confined Aquifer that meets the standards defined in the Groundwater Rules.
6.4.	Plan must replace or Remedy Injurious Stream Depletions based upon the Plan's Wells' proportionate Net Groundwater Consumptive Use in relation to the total Net Groundwater Consumptive Use of all Wells in the Response Area	Complies	2.5.2	The Subdistrict will remedy injurious stream depletions that occur as a result of Subdistrict Well groundwater withdrawals on or after the State Engineer's approval of the Subdistrict's first Annual Replacement Plan, and in accordance with the Stipulation entered into between the State and the Confined Aquifer Sustainability Group in the Groundwater Rules, as well as Post-Plan Injurious Stream Depletions impacting a surface stream from Subdistrict Well groundwater withdrawals in prior years and all Post-Plan Injurious Stream Depletions that will occur in subsequent years, as are capable of quantification using the RGDSS Groundwater Model or by some other method approved by the State Engineer.
6.4. (cont'd)			3.4.2	the Subdistrict will utilize the then current Response Functions developed by the State for the Alamosa-La Jara Response Area, or some other method approved by the State Engineer, to calculate the amount, timing and location of stream depletions caused by the withdrawal of groundwater by Subdistrict Wells or Contract Wells.
6.4. (cont'd)			8.1.10	See text of Section 8.1.10 of the Plan above addressing Rule 6.2

Table 3: Compliance

				Subdistrict No. 6 (Alamosa LaJara Subdistrict)
Rule	Rule Language	Comments	Section	Plan Language
7.:	Standards for Determinations of Stream Depletions	Rules 7.2 and 7.4 are obligations of the State Engineer		
7.1 _i	the RGDSS Model must be used as the basis for predicting changes in the rate and direction of flow of groundwater, and determining Stream Depletions resulting from groundwater withdrawals within the RGDSS Model Domain.	Complies: As amended by Approval Conditions No. 1 and No. 2	2.5.2	See text of Section 2.5.2 of the Plan above addressing Rule 6.4
7.1.			3.4.2	See text of Section 3.4.2 of the Plan above addressing Rule 6.4
(cont'd)				
7. 1. (cont'd)			4.2.4.1	To the extent permitted by law, and in accordance with Rules and Regulations adopted by the Subdistrict and approved by the District, the Subdistrict may recommend and request that the Board of Directors of the District contract with Non-Exempt Well owners. Rules and Regulations adopted for this purpose provide that the Subdistrict Board of Managers may only contract with well owners to include wells in the Subdistrict if the impacts from the wells can be determined using the methodology the Subdistrict will use to calculate stream depletions from Subdistrict Wells, or otherwise have an approved alternate method of calculating injurious stream depletions, and the inclusion of the well will not alter the location of the ARP's replacement obligations and that any contract to include a well in the Subdistrict's ARP will include provisions to comply with all Sustainable Water Supply requirements of the Groundwater Rules.
7.1- (cont'd)			4.2.4.1 related Rules ft Regs	Rules & Regs Section 8.1.3: The Board of Managers may only allow a Participation Contract with a well owner to include a Non-exempt well in the Subdistrict's ARP if the impacts from the well can be determined using the same methodology the Subdistrict will use to calculate stream depletions from Subdistrict Wells, or otherwise have a State approved alternate method for calculating stream depletions from the well, and the well will not alter the location of the ARP's replacement obligation. Rules & Regs Section 8.1.4: A Contract Well's stream depletions will be calculated using the same methodology the Subdistrict will use to calculate stream depletions from Subdistrict Wells unless a State-approved method for calculating stream depletions is available. The Subdistrict will be responsible for replacing injurious stream depletions for Subdistrict and Contract Wells. Rules & Regs Section 8.1.8: The Subdistrict is obligated to remedy Post Plan Injurious Stream Depletions associated with Subdistrict Contract Wells.

Table 3: Compliance

				Subdistrict No. 6 (Alamosa LaJara Subdistrict)
Rule	Rule Language	Comments	Section	Plan Language
7.1. (cont'd)			4.2.2.4	Non-Exempt Wells that are not required to have a meter under the Measurement Rules The Subdistrict Member who uses such a well must provide the Subdistrict the amount of water withdrawn through said well during each Water Administration Year using a method acceptable to the Subdistrict and approved by the State prior to any withdrawal of groundwate through the well.
7.1. (cont'd)			8.1.2	Any Subdistrict Member who owns and/or manages a Subdistrict or Contract Well that is not required to be metered under the State's Measurement Rules must have an alternative method of measurement approved by the Subdistrict and the State before any groundwater withdrawals occur. Any Subdistrict Well groundwater withdrawals for the prior Water Administration Year that are being measured by an alternate method of measurement must be submitted to the Subdistrict each year. The process for securing an alternate method of measurement for these types of wells will be defined in the Rules and Regulations for Subdistrict No. 6
7.1. (cont'd)			4.2.2.4 ft 8.1.2 related Rules ft Regs	Rules & Regs Section 6.2: Those Subdistrict Wells that do not have a totalizing flow meter installed to record the groundwater withdrawals will be required by the Subdistrict to either install a totalizing flow meter on the well which meets the requirements of the Measurement Rules or submit an alternative method of measurement to the Subdistrict's Board of Managers that can demonstrate that the alternative method will produce a measurement or calculation of groundwater withdrawals within the range of accuracy required of a totalizing flow meter under the Measurement Rules.
7.1. (cont'd)			4.2.2.4 & 8.1.2 related Rules & Regs	Rules & Regs Section 6.5: Any Subdistrict Well with groundwater withdrawals that are not being measured by either a State-approved totalizing flow meter or a Subdistrict-approved alternative method of measurement will be assessed the annual Groundwater Withdrawal Fee based on the maximum rate of groundwater withdrawal, as defined in the applicable court decree, or well permit if a decree does not exist, and assuming the rate of groundwater withdrawal was constant for the entire Water Administration Year, for any Water Administration Year in which the Subdistrict Well was withdrawing groundwater.

Table 3: Compliance

				Subdistrict No. 6 (Alamosa LaJara Subdistrict)
Rule	Rule Language	Comments	Section	Plan Language
7.1 (cont'd)			4.2.2.4 & 8.1.2 related Rules & Regs	Rules & Regs Section 6.6: The annual amount of groundwater withdrawals recorded under an approved alternative method of measurement must be reported to the Subdistrict no later than December 1st following the end of the Water Administration Year in which the groundwater withdrawals occurred and the minimum groundwater withdrawals reported must be at least one (1) acre-foot. Gross groundwater withdrawals reported under an approved alternative method for measurement will be included in the Subdistrict's ARP. The Board of Managers will approve a formal method for the annual reporting of gross groundwater withdrawals being measured through an approved alternative method of measurement. The annual amoun of groundwater withdrawals recorded under an approved alternative method of measurement must also be reported to the Division of Water Resources by December 1st following the end of the Water Administration Year.
7.3.	the Response Functions for a Response Area must be used to determine the amount and timing of Stream Depletions to defined reaches of affected streams by Wells within the Response Area.	Complies	3.4.2.	See text of Section 3, 4.2 of the Plan above addressing Rule 6.4
7.5.	Any Well User wishing to use an alternative to the RGDSS Model to determine Stream Depletions for Wells within the RGDSS Model Domain must demonstrate that the alternative determines Stream Depletions at least as reliably as the RGDSS Model.	Complies: As amended by Approval Conditions No. 1 and No. 2	4.2.4.1	See text of Section 4.2.4.1 of the Plan above and see text of Rules & Regs Sections 8.1.3, 8.1.4, 8,1.8 related to Plan Section 4.2.4.1 addressing Rule 7.1
7.5. (cont'd)			8.1.2	See text of Section 8.1.2 of the Plan above addressing Rule 7.1
7.6.	For areas outside of the RGDSS Model Domain, the best practical and reliable methodology for determining Stream Depletions must be used. There is a rebuttable presumption that aquifers outside of the RGDSS Model Domain within Water Division No. 3 act as alluvial aquifers.	Complies: As amended by Approval Conditions No. 1 and No. 2	4.2.4.1	See text of Section 4.2.4.1 of the Plan above and see text of Rules & Regs Sections 8.1.3, 8.1.4, 8.1.8 related to Plan Section 4.2.4.1 addressing Rule 7.1
7.6. (cont'd)			8.1.2	See text of Section 8.1.2 of the Plan above addressing Rule 7.1
8.	Standards and Monitoring Methods for Achieving and Maintaining a Sustainable Water Supply	Rules 8.1.1 through 8.1.6 and Rule 8.1.8 are obligations of the State Engineer		

Table 3: Compliance

				Subdistrict No. 6 (Alamosa LaJara Subdistrict)
Rule	Rule Language	Comments	Section	Plan Language
8.1 _%	Plans that include Wells located in one or more of the Confined Aquifer Response Areas must contain terms for achieving and maintaining a Sustainable Water Supply	<u>Complies</u> : As amended by Approval Condition No. 4	3.5.2	The Subdistrict will comply with the Sustainable Water Supply requirements of the Groundwater Rules as they currently exist or as they may be amended in the future, including, but not limited to, Rules 8.1.7 and 8.7. The Subdistrict will consult with the State Engineer to determine the preferred methodologies to be used to define compliance with the Sustainable Water Supply requirements of the Groundwater Rules. If preferred methodologies to maintain a Sustainable Water Supply in the Confined Aquifer are amended within the Groundwater Rules, the calculations defined in paragraph 3.5.1 above will be revised to assure compliance with the Groundwater Rules.
8.1. (cont'd)			3.5.1	To assure the State Engineer the Subdistrict is in compliance with the Sustainable Water Supply requirements of the Groundwater Rules, the following analysis will be completed on an annual basis: (See Section 3.5.1 & 3.5.1.1 addressing Rule 8.7 for method of determining proportional division of responsibility for meeting sustainability requirements.)
8.1. (cont'd)			3.5.1.2 3.5.1.3	The Subdistrict will (then) calculate the rolling average of the total annual groundwater withdrawals from all Subdistrict and Contract Wells using the five most current Water Administration Years. The Subdistrict will compare the proportional share of the total Response Area groundwater withdrawals from 1978-2000 for all Subdistrict and Contract Wells calculated in paragraph 3.5.1.1 above against the Subdistrict's five-year rolling average of total groundwater withdrawals calculated in paragraph 3.5.1.2 above to determine if the Subdistrict is in compliance with the Sustainable Water Supply Requirements of the Groundwater Rules.
8.1. (cont'd)			3.5.1.4	As part of the ARP, the Subdistrict will provide the information used to prepare the annual Sustainable Water Supply analysis. The ARP will also include details for all plans and/or programs the Subdistrict will implement to assist in assuring the requirements for a Sustainable Water Supply are being met, and will include, if necessary, measureable benchmarks the Subdistrict will use to demonstrate progress towards compliance. When necessary, in addition to any other plans or programs the Subdistrict has implemented to assist in assuring a Sustainable Water Supply in the Confined Aquifer, the Subdistrict will: 1) create and enhance a program to require incremental reduction in groundwater withdrawals from Subdistrict and Contract Wells and/or 2) create and enhance a Confined Aquifer recharge program to offset groundwater withdrawals from Subdistrict and Contract Wells.

Table 3: Compliance

				Subdistrict No. 6 (Alamosa LaJara Subdistrict)
Rule	Rule Language	Comments	Section	Plan Language
			2.2.2.	the Subdistrict Board of Managers may contract with well owners whose well impacts are not determined by the Alamosa-La Jara Response Area Response Functions but can be determined by methods accepted under the Groundwater Rules, and whose impacts are similar to those of Subdistrict Wells.
8.1, (contd)			4.2.4.1	To the extent permitted by law, and in accordance with Rules and Regulations adopted by the Subdistrict and approved by the District, the Subdistrict may recommend and request that the Board of Directors of the District contract with Non-Exempt Well owners. Rules and Regulations adopted for this purpose provide that the Subdistrict Board of Managers may only contract with well owners to include wells in the Subdistrict if any contract to include a well in the Subdistrict's ARP will include provisions to comply with all Sustainable Water Supply requirements of the Groundwater Rules.
8.1. (cont'd)			4.2.4.1 related Rules & Regs	Rules & Regs Section 8.1.9: Sustainable Water Supply Requirement for a Contract Well. Under the Groundwater Rules, the Subdistrict is required to achieve and maintain a Sustainable Water Supply in the Confined Aquifer. Groundwater withdrawals from Contract Wells will be monitored by the Subdistrict; as described in Section 3.5 of the Plan, to ensure the Subdistrict can meet this requirement. If at any time the Subdistrict's Board of Managers determines current Subdistrict plans and/or programs are not sufficient to achieve a Sustainable Water Supply in the Confined Aquifer, the Board of Managers may require an incremental reduction in groundwater withdrawals from Subdistrict and Contract Wells in an amount necessary to assure the State the Subdistrict is able to meet this requirement.

Table 3: Compliance

				Subdistrict No. 6 (Alamosa LaJara Subdistrict)
Rule	Rule Language	Comments	Section	Plan Language
8.1.7.	The Plans must include provisions and benchmarks addressing how its proportionate share of groundwater withdrawals will be incrementally reduced so as to achieve the average annual withdrawal for the Response Area as provided by Rule 8.1.6 by the tenth year after the approval of the first Annual Replacement Plan or Plan for Augmentation, five year running average groundwater withdrawals, after accounting for recharge, do not exceed the average annual withdrawals for the Response Area as provided by Rule 8.1.6. In each year thereafter, subject to Rule 8.1.8, for the Response Area the metered total withdrawals on a five year running average must not exceed the average annual withdrawals for the period 1978 through 2000 as provided by Rule 8.1.6. Each Plan must include terms addressing how the Plan will meet its proporitonal responsibility for ensuring that this limit is not exceeded.	Complies: As amended by Approval Condition No. 3	3.5.1.5	If the Subdistrict is not in compliance with the Sustainable Water Supply requirements of the Groundwater Rules, the Subdistrict Board of Managers will monitor the Subdistrict's five-year rolling average of total groundwater withdrawals, calculated in paragraph 3.5.1.2, for the first five years the Subdistrict is operating under an approved ARP in order to determine if the imposition of a Groundwater Withdrawal Fee lowers the annual groundwater withdrawals to within the requirements of the Sustainable Water Supply as determined by paragraph 3.5.1.3. If after the fifth year of operation under an ARP, the imposition of a fee does not reduce Subdistrict groundwater withdrawals to the level necessary to meet the Sustainable Water Supply requirements of the Groundwater Rules, the Board of Managers will implement one or more of the following actions: a temporary or permanent fallow program: seek to purchase and retire groundwater rights; evaluate the potential effectiveness of a Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program; or, such other actions as are deemed necessary for the purpose of managing the amount of groundwater being withdrawn from Subdistrict and Contract Wells.
8.1.7. (cont'd)			3.5.1.5 (cont'd)	If actions taken by the Board of Managers have not brought the Subdistrict into compliance with the Sustainable Water Supply requirements of the Groundwater Rules, it will be necessary for the Board of Managers to require reductions in groundwater withdrawals from Subdistrict Wells and Contract Wells in an amount necessary to bring the 5-year running average groundwater withdrawals to within the range required for compliance by the end of the tenth year of operations.
8.1.7. (cont'd)			3.5.1.5 (cont'd)	At the discretion of the Board of Managers, actions may be taken in an order different than that listed above to assure the Subdistrict will meet the Sustainable Water Supply requirements of the Groundwater Rules.
8.2.	Wells located in the Response Area No. 1 must achieve and maintain a Sustainable Water Supply in accordance with the Groundwater Management Plan of Subdistrict No. 1 Case No. 07CW52	Complies: As amended by Condition 4	4.2.4.1	See text of Section 4.2.4.1 of the Plan above and see text of Rules & Regs Sections 8.1.9 addressing Rule 8.1
8.3.	Wells located in the Trinchera Response Area must achieve and maintain a Sustainable Water Supply in accordance with this Rule 8.3. Each plan must contain terms that provide for achieveing and maintaining a Sustainable Water Supply within 20 years of its effective date.	<u>Complies</u> : As amended by Condition 4	4.2.4.1	See text of Section 4.2.4.1 of the Plan above and see text of Rules & Regs Sections 8.1.9 addressing Rule 8.1

Table 3: Compliance

			U.	Subdistrict No. 6 (Alamosa LaJara Subdistrict)
Rule	Rule Language	Comments	Section	Plan Language
B.4.	In the Rio Grande Alluvium Response Area, there is no Sustainable Water Supply required	Complies: As amended by Condition 4	4.2.4.1	See text of Section 4.2.4.1 of the Plan above and see text of Rules & Regs Sections 8.1.9 addressing Rule 8.1
8.5.	Plans specified in Rule 6.1 that include Wells located outside of areas depicted in Exhibits B, D, E, and F must include a Rule 8.6 "Alternate Plan to achieve a Sustainable Water Supply" for those Wells. There is a rebuttal presumption that aquifers outside of the RGDSS Model Domain act as alluvial aquifers and have little or no storage capacity available for use of the aquifer as a reservoir.	<u>Complies</u> : As amended by Condition 4	4.2.4.1	See text of Section 4.2.4.1 of the Plan above and see text of Rules & Regs Sections 8.1.9 addressing Rule 8.1
8.6.	The proponent must demonstrate that an Alternate Plan reliably determines the Sustainable Water Supply and is sufficient to achieve and maintain a Sustainable Water Supply the Alternate Plan must contain terms that account for the effect of groundwater withdrawals made before the effective date of the Plan on the achievement and maintenance of a Sustainable Water Supply Wells subject to that Alternate Plan will be curtailed at times the provisions of the Alternate Plan are not met.	<u>Complies</u> : As amended by Condition 4	4.2.4.1	See text of Section 4.2.4.1 of the Plan above and see text of Rules & Regs Sections 8.1.9 addressing Rule 8.1
8.7.	All Plans that are required to achieve and maintain a Sustainable Water Supply must provide for the proportional division of the responsibility between all Well Users in each of the Response Areas in which the Wells included in the Plan are located based upon each Well's past, present and future groundwater withdrawals, unless the Plan's participants agree among themselves on another method of allocation of responsibility of the Plan's participants.	Complies: As amended by Condition 4	3.5.1	To assure the State Engineer the Subdistrict is in compliance with the Sustainable Water Supply requirements of the Groundwater Rules, the following analysis will be completed on an annual basis: The Subdistrict will estimate the average groundwater withdrawals from al Subdistrict and Contract Wells by analyzing information included in the Groundwater Model for the purpose of modeling 1978-2000 groundwater withdrawals from wells of Subdistrict Members which lave since been abandoned or were re-permitted to be exempt from the Groundwater Rules will also be included in this estimate. This estimated average will be compared to the State-provided average groundwater withdrawals for the Response Area for the period 1978-2000, as adjusted in accordance with the Stipulation entered into between the State and the Confined Aquifer Sustainability Group in the Groundwater Rules, to determine the Subdistrict minimum proportional share of the total Response Area groundwater withdrawals.

Table 3: Compliance

				Subdistrict No. 6 (Alamosa LaJara Subdistrict)
Rule	Rule Language	Comments	Section	Plan Language
8.7. (cont'd)			3.2.2	The Plan will operate for an indefinite period to ensure the remedy of injurious stream depletions resulting from groundwater withdrawals by Subdistrict Wells and to achieve and maintain a Sustainable Water Supply in the Confined Aquifer that meets the standards defined in the Groundwater Rules. This Plan recognizes it may be necessary for the Subdistrict to cooperate with other subdistricts to ensure that impacts to the Sustainable Water Supply in the Confined Aquifer as a result of groundwater withdrawals in those other subdistricts is not impacting this Subdistrict's ability to meet its obligation regarding a Sustainable Water Supply as required by the Groundwater Rules.